

Phase 3 (30 points total)			
Criteria	Pts	Weak	Excellent
Relational schema and normalization		Is incomplete or contains several errors. Does not justify that the design is in 3NF. (0 points)	Is complete with no errors. All functional dependencies are shown. Discusses the normalization process and proves that the design is in 3NF. (5 points)
Oracle implementation and data		The implementation is incomplete or contains several errors. Insufficient data in the database. No data integrity controls. (0 points)	The database is implemented completely with no errors. Sufficient data is entered to demonstrate the need for the database. Data integrity controls are in place. (5 points)
Queries, forms, and reports		Provided queries, forms, and reports are insufficient or ineffective. No switchboard. (0 points)	Provided queries and reports are sufficient in number, well thought out, and useful for managerial decision making. Forms, reports, and the switchboard are well-designed. (5 points)
Conclusion		Fails to demonstrate how well the system addresses the information needs of the client. (0 points)	By taking into account the business operations and information needs of the client and using the available data, proficiently articulates and demonstrates how the system provides utility. (5 points)
Reflection on the overall project		Fails to clearly show the link between phases. (0 points)	Can clearly establish the link among the activities of each phase for this systems development project. (3 points)
The report on the team's experience with the project		Reflects on the development experience in a haphazard way or with insufficient detail. (0 points)	Thoroughly reflects on the overall systems development experience. Responds to all questions in the list (and possibly more) completely and carefully. (3 points)
Writing, grammar/spelling, organization, evidence of team work		Obvious grammatical errors, disorganized content, ideas and sections of the document loosely connected, lots of repetitions. Uses incorrect format. Does not provide a well-designed Table of Contents. (0 points)	Free of grammatical errors, concisely written, organized content, all sections integrated with no obvious difference between sections in terms of style. Uses correct format. Provides a well-designed Table of Contents. (4 points)
Phase 2 revision satisfactory?			
Intermediate steps (checked during group project workdays) accomplished on time?			
Meeting minutes missing/late?			
Total			