

MIAMI UNIVERSITY
 School of Engineering and Applied Science
 Department of Engineering Technology

ENT 401

Critical Thinking Assignments

3 Cr. Hrs.

Five Assignments Designed to Assess Critical Thinking Capabilities.

Date Assigned : _____

Date Submitted : _____

Participating Student : _____

Grading Rubrics : 1 : Poor, Unacceptable.
 (Likert Scale) 3 : Satisfactory, Acceptable.
 5 : Excellent, Great Accomplishment.

Example :

Criteria	5 : Excellent	3 : Satisfactory	1 : Poor
Analysis	Detailed. Challenging. Supported by Documentation.	Adequate. Improve Support Documentation.	Trivial. Support document. Missing.
Critical Thinking	Addressed Issues well. (Richard Paul & Jane Willsen) Raises vital questions. Formulates various problems. Clarity & precision. Gathers & Assesses Relevant information. Sensible Solutions. Well-reasoned Conclusions.	Mostly Addressed. (Richard Paul & Jane Willsen) Improve clarity. Unprecise problem Identification. Assessment Needs Improvement. More Justification Needed.	Given no Thought ! Missing Critique. Lacks clarity. Incorrect problem Identification. Assessment is Unclear. Conclusions are made with No regard to data.