

Rubric for MBI 365 term paper

	Underdeveloped 1	Somewhat developed 2	Most developed 3	Substantially developed 4
A: Introduction and historical background. Identification and summarization of the biological questions or ethical at issue, Importance of the question or issue	Questions are poorly identified	Questions are identified, however, importance is not well addressed	Questions are well identified and importance is present moderately.	Questions and importance are well presented
B: Methods methodology in addressing the question and issue; Comparison of different evidences with your opinion; Data analysis and result interpretation; Improvement or better way to address this in your opinion.	Lack of description of methods. Data are poorly compared. No individual opinions.	Methods are described to certain detail with comparison of different data to a certain depth. But no individual input.	Methods are described well with comparison of different data to a certain depth. But no individual input.	Methods are described well with comparison of different data to a certain depth. Author's opinion is argued.
C: Conclusion Significance of the work described Pros and cons	Weak conclusion	Conclusion is well made. Significance is little weak	Solid conclusion with significance addressed'	Solid conclusion with coupled significance. Pros and cons are addressed
D: Format	Poor format	Generally good format	Well formatted well	Scientifically formatted
E: Coherence	Lack of coherence	Some coherence	Good coherence	Excellent coherence